

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/699,609	10/30/2000	Raymond Krasinski	US000284	6797	
24737 7	7590 08/18/2003				
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			EXAMINER		
			KINDRED, ALFORD W		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2172	11		
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2003	F 1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. \$``\	•		•	<
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
₹.	Advisory Action	09/699,609	KRASINSKI, RAYMOND	
Advisory Action		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Alford W. Kindred	2172	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address:	
There final re condit	REPLY FILED 3 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application	in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
	The period for reply expires $8/6/03$ months from the mailing			
fee hav fee und (2) as s	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  In tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The rebeen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the state of t	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION: See R 1.136(a) and the appropriate out of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final Office.	MPEP te extension te extension e action; or
-	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	s Brief must be filed within the pe		
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	* **		
(a	)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by		·	
(c	) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplif	ying the
(d	they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed ame	ndment
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NOT pla	ace the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	o issues which were ne	wly
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed:	•		
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-21.			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
9. <u> </u>	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement Other:	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <sub>-</sub>	ALFORD KINDREI PRIMARY EXAMINI	D ER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner maintains that Unger and Crandle, combined, teachings of the compression of data in a first and second format, wherein the data was in a given format before and after the compression, clearly teaches applicant's claim of "wherein said document before and after the compressing step is in a given file format". Applicant's use of "a given format", in the claim language, is interpreted as being any document with character in a given array, since "a given format" is extremely broad. Further examiner maintains that Crandall's process of compression adds the missing element (i.e. "a given formation" before and after . . .) of applicant's claim language in regards to the compression element.